

United States Pater And Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,121 01/09/2001	Hariprasad Sreedharamurthy	MEMC 99 - 2950 (2702)	6581
321 7590 07/16/2002			
SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT AND ROEDEL ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102	EXAMINER		
		KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1765	5
		DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/757,121	SREEDHARAMURTHY ET	Γ AL
Office Action Su	ımmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert M Kunemund	1765	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app		et with the correspondence address	
	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period w deperiod for reply will, by statute, an three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, n within the statutory minimum ill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicationer me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed·on	<u> </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
			matters, prosecution as to the merits 5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s	s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are a	llowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are reje	ected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are of	bjected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or	election requiremen		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is object	cted to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _	is/are: a)□ accept	ed or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not reques	st that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing co	orrection filed on	is: a)□ approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected dra	awings are required in repl	y to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Exa	miner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made	de of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐	None of:			
1. Certified copies o	f the priority documents	have been received		
2. Certified copies or	f the priority documents	have been received	in Application No	
	m the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(
		·	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	tion).
a) ☐ The translation of th 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made	e foreign language prov	risional application ha	as been received.	,.
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsper	ving Review (PTO-948)		riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Acti	ion Summary	Part of Paper No	o. 5

Art Unit: 1765

The Rejections

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 to 4, 9 to 12 and 17 to 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Su 1,680,810.

The Su 1,680,810 reference teaches a czochralski apparatus and method. There is a susceptor placed in a chamber. A crucible sits inside of the susceptor and contains a melt. There is a heating means and a seed pulling means. Further, a ring is placed over the tops of the susceptor and crucible, note figures.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5 to 8 and 13 to 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Su 1,680,810.

The Su 1,680,810 reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the material of construction and susceptor construction. However, in the absence of unobvious results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable susceptor construction and materials used in the Su 1,680,810 apparatus in order to prevent impurities in the melt and cracking of the susceptor.

Examiner's Remarks

The remaining references are merely cited of interest as showing the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Kunemund at telephone number 703-308-1091.

RMK

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER